Anisakis simplex-induced anaphylaxis
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Abstract In recent years, Anisakis simplex has been shown to be an important etiologic agent responsible for food allergy and for gastrointestinal anisakiasis. We report a 61-year-old woman presenting with generalized urticaria and subsequent anaphylaxis after ingestion of raw mackerel. She rapidly recovered with administration of epinephrine and endoscopic extraction of an A. simplex larva. Serologic testing revealed specific IgE antibody to A. simplex was positive whereas that to mackerel was negative. She was diagnosed as IgE-mediated hypersensitivity to A. simplex. Patients diagnosed as fish-related or idiopathic allergy should be examined for evidence of Anisakis-induced allergy.

Keywords Anisakis simplex · Urticaria · Anaphylaxis · Food allergy · IgE

Introduction

Anisakis simplex is a common nematode that parasitizes marine fish and mammals. Humans can be accidental hosts through ingestion of parasitized fish. Anisakis infestation of the human digestive tract is known to cause “gastrointestinal anisakiasis”, which manifests as acute epigastric pain mimicking the clinical features of gastric peptic ulcer or other inflammatory abdominal disorders. Recently, it has been confirmed that A. simplex is also responsible for acute allergic disorders after ingestion of parasitized fish. Here, we report a case presenting with Anisakis-induced anaphylaxis after ingestion of raw mackerel. The responsibility of Anisakis allergen for food allergy, diagnosis, and prevention of Anisakis-induced hypersensitivity is discussed.

Case report

A 61-year-old woman presented to the emergency department of our hospital with generalized itchy, erythematous hives 2 h after ingestion of raw mackerel (Japanese sashimi). During the physical examination, she abruptly complained of dyspnea and chest tightening. The blood pressure was 56/30 mmHg. She immediately received intramuscular epinephrine 0.6 mg and intravenous hydrocortisone 100 mg with good response. The next day, she was admitted to our hospital because she exhibited persistent skin manifestation and developed fever and vague abdominal discomfort 8 h after the onset. She had no personal and family history of allergic disorders. On admission, her temperature was 38.2°C, the blood pressure was 140/60 mmHg and the pulse was 90 beats per minute. There were generalized erythematous hives, mainly on the trunk and extremities, whereas the conjunctiva and oral mucosa showed no signs of allergy. Auscultation of the chest revealed no wheezes or crackles. On abdominal findings, mild tenderness was noted in the epigastrium with normal bowel sounds. Blood study on the next day of glucocorticoid administration showed the white-cell count was 11,800/µL with 85% neutrophils, 10.4% lymphocytes, and 0.6% eosinophils. Serum chemistry revealed normal levels of serum transaminases, creatinine, and electrolyte. On upper endoscopy on the second hospital day, the presence of an A. simplex larva in the anterior wall of the gastric corpus was noted (Fig. 1). In addition, diffuse gastric erosion was noted predominantly in the corpus of...
the stomach (Fig. 2a, b). Histopathologic examination was not performed. The abdominal discomfort subsided within several hours and skin manifestation disappeared within 4 days after endoscopic removal of the worm. The results of allergy evaluation were as follows: total serum IgE was elevated (670 IU/mL) and specific IgE antibody to *A. simplex* was positive (26.2 IU/mL) by fluoroenzyme immunoassay (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden), while specific IgE to mackerel was negative (<0.35 IU/mL). Given the clinical and laboratory findings, the patient was diagnosed as *Anisakis*-induced hypersensitivity and not mackerel-induced hypersensitivity. She has had no further acute allergic symptoms since she began to avoid raw seafish.

**Discussion**

*A. simplex* is a nematode that parasitizes sea mammals. Common intermediate hosts include the codfish, hake, sardine, anchovy, salmon, tuna, mackerel, and squid. Humans can be accidental hosts who acquire the third-stage larvae of *A. simplex* by ingestion of contaminated fish.

In Japan, it has been known for a long time that ingestion of raw fish can cause urticaria, and some sort of protein in the fish meat was thought to be the principal antigen. However, Kasuya et al. reported that all of 11 patients with mackerel-related urticaria had a positive reaction to *A. simplex* larval antigen on scratch test, whereas none reacted to mackerel antigen [1]. Since then, numerous cases with *Anisakis*-induced allergy ranging from isolated urticaria to life-threatening anaphylactic shock have been reported in humans [2–4]. In addition, gastrointestinal anisakiasis may also be because of allergic reaction of the gastrointestinal tract against *A. simplex* given the evidence that patients with gastrointestinal anisakiasis histopathologically had the presence of infiltration of eosinophils in the gastrointestinal wall [5] and were invariably positive on skin prick tests against *A. simplex* [6]. In our case, the epigastric discomfort probably occurred as an allergic reaction to *A. simplex* because gastric erosion involved the entire stomach, and was not localized to the site of the *Anisakis* infestation.

Sensitization to *A. simplex* is more prevalent than expected and contributes a great deal to food allergy. According to recent studies, *A. simplex* was the most important hidden food allergen in the adult population.
presenting with acute or chronic urticaria [2, 7] and was responsible for as much as 10% of the anaphylaxis previously diagnosed as idiopathic [8]. Thus, patients previously diagnosed as fish-related or idiopathic allergy should be examined for evidence of Anisakis-induced allergy.

Diagnosis of Anisakis-induced allergy is now based on skin prick test or measurement of serum specific IgE using crude parasite antigens. To date, more purified allergens have been identified with very variable molecular weights [4]. Crossed radioimmunoelectrophoresis (CRIE) showed that sensitized patients had serum IgE to several different allergens in a crude extract of A. simplex and there was a wide range of individual variability in their reactivity to each allergen [9], which could explain the diverse clinical manifestations of Anisakis-induced allergy. However, it still remains unknown whether an allergen involved in the anaphylaxis is identical with that in other allergic manifestations because serologic tests for these purified antigens are not yet commercially available.

There is no consensus concerning dietary restrictions for patients with Anisakis-induced allergy. Some authors have claimed that A. simplex sensitized patients tolerate ingestion of dead larvae [10]. In contrast, others claimed that cooking might not diminish the potency of their allergens [11] and recommended a strict diet with complete avoidance of fish [12]. Trujillo et al. [13] reported that raw fish-free diets could prevent new anaphylactic episodes in patients who previously had Anisakis-induced acute urticaria or anaphylaxis. Thus, avoidance of raw fish, at least, is essential to prevent further critical allergic reaction to A. simplex. In our case, no strict dietary restriction with complete avoidance of seafish has been required because no further allergic reaction has been induced by thorough cooking of seafish.

In conclusion, endoscopic examination should be considered in patients with allergic symptoms after ingestion of raw fish, and patients previously diagnosed as fish-related or idiopathic allergy should be examined for evidence of Anisakis-induced allergy.
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